THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.24629 of 2006

Dated: 29.11.2006

Between:

G.S.Narayana.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The A.P.S.R.T.C. rep. by its Regional Manager,
Khammam Region,
Khammam District and others

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 24629 of 2006

Order:

The petitioner is working as a Conductor in the respondent-APSRTC. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him, alleging certain cash and ticket irregularities on 26-2-2002 while he was performing duties on route Peruru on the bus bearing No.AP 9Z 8554. On the basis of the report submitted by the enquiry officer, the impugned final order-cum-show cause notice, dated 14.06.2002 was issued, imposing punishment of deferment of annual increment, for a period of one year which shall have its effect on his future increments besides treating the suspension period as not on duty. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the appellate authority. But no order has been passed by the appellate authority. Hence, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.3, without conducting a regular enquiry and without affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, straight away issued the impugned proceedings imposing punishment of deferment of annual increment for a period of one year which shall have its effect on his future increments besides treating the suspension period as not on duty. He further submitted that the issue raised in this writ petition is squarely covered by the orders of this Court in W.P. No. 18299 of 2005, dated 05.09.2005. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondent- Corporation admitted the same. He, however, opposed the relief contending that after considering the entire material on record, the impugned order was passed, and no interference is called for therewith.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-Corporation.

The issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the orders of this Court in W.P. 18299 of 2005, dated 05.09.2005. The operative portion of the said order, reads as under:

> *"Strictly speaking, the impugned order deserves to be set aside, and the matter needs to be remanded. However, having regard to the fact that nearly six years have elapsed, this Court does not find it proper, to subject*

the petitioner to another set of proceedings. Taking the totality of the circumstances, into account, the punishment of stoppage of increment shall be treated, as the one without cumulative effect. However, the petitioner shall not be entitled for any monetary benefit, upto the date of this order, on account of the modification of the punishment.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs."

Hence, following the orders of this Court in W.P. No. 18299 of 2005, dated 05.09.2005, the present writ petition is also disposed of with the following direction.

The impugned order dated 14.06.2002, passed by respondent No.3, imposing punishment of deferment of annual increment for a period of one year which shall have effect on his future increments besides treating the suspension period as not on duty, is modified to that of stoppage of annual increment without cumulative effect. However, the petitioner shall not be entitled to any monetary benefits arising out of this order, but shall be entitled to fixation of pay only.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 29.11.2006
GRR/NSR